

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/829,649	04/22/2004	Takehiro Onomatsu	04536/039001	6995	
7590 05/21/2007 Jonathan P. Osha		EXAMINER			
OSHA & MAY L.L.P. Suite 2800 1221 McKinney Street			YENKE, BRIAN P		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Houston, TX 77010			2622		
			MẠIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/829,649	ONOMATSU, TAKEHIRO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		BRIAN P. YENKE	2622			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
Period for	• •					
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Amer</u>	ndment (06 March 2007).				
2a)⊠ ⁻	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
(	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositio	on of Claims					
5)□ ( 6)⊠ ( 7)□ (	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.  (a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application	on Papers					
10)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

Application/Control Number: 10/829,649 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sparks et al., US 6,363,213 in view of Cohen-Solal, US 7,206,029.

In considering claims 1-8, 10-11 and 13,

Sparks discloses a digital recorder and display wherein the display 300 (Fig 1,2) displays digital information which is received via antenna 50, packet source, 75, tape packet source 200, or an analog video input (Fig 2). The system allows a user to display an image in addition to displaying on-screen display (OSD) "status messages" to the user based upon a user's selection of the operating mode (i.e. slow-motion, record, rewind, etc...), which is superimposed onto said image.

Although Sparks does not explicitly recite the conventional capability of an adaptive PIP which accounts for one or both images to determine the position, color and/or size of such images, the practice of such is notoriously well known in the art as evidenced by Cohen-Solal, US 7,206,029 as shown in Figs 2a/b/c and 3.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Sparks which discloses the use of on-screen displays by accounting for the position/size/color

of such images as done conventional (i.e. Cohen-Solal) in order to provide the viewer(s) a distinguishable yet uninterrupted picture.

In considering claim 9,

Sparks does not explicitly recite an "optical disk", however Sparks does disclose a digital video recorder including D-VHS or DVC format.

There are a multitude of conventional formats available in the market in addition to the disclosed D-VHS or DVC including an "optical disk", and since these are off the shelf items/features available to any user the examiner takes "OFFICIAL NOTICE" regarding as such.

In considering claim 12,

Although neither Sparks nor Cohen-Solal disclose the common practice of outputting still images, the use of such is notoriously well known in the art, wherein a still image typically provides a user information pertaining to an item/program without using as much information/bandwidth as moving images, thus the examiner takes "OFFICIAL NOTICE" regarding such.

## Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,649 Page 4

Art Unit: 2622

5.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 4.

disclosure—see newly cited references on attached form PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brian Yenke whose telephone number is (571)272-7359. The

examiner work schedule is Monday-Thursday, 0730-1830 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Supervisor, David L. Ometz, can be reached at (571)272-7593.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571)-273-8300

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose

telephone number is

(703)305-HELP.

General information about patents, trademarks, products and services offered by

the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), and other related information is

available by contacting the USPTO's General Information Services Division at:

800-PTO-9199 or 703-308-HELP

(FAX) 703-305-7786

(TDD) 703-305-7785

Art Unit: 2622

An automated message system is available 7 days a week, 24 hours a day providing informational responses to frequently asked questions and the ability to order certain documents. Customer service representatives are available to answer questions, send materials or connect customers with other offices of the USPTO from 8:30 a.m. - 8:00p.m. EST/EDT, Monday-Friday excluding federal holidays.

For other technical patent information needs, the Patent Assistance Center can be reached through customer service representatives at the above numbers, Monday through Friday (except federal holidays) from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST/EDT.

The Patent Electronic Business Center (EBC) allows USPTO customers to retrieve data, check the status of pending actions, and submit information and applications. The tools currently available in the Patent EBC are Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) and the Electronic Filing System (EFS). PAIR (http://pair.uspto.gov) provides customers direct secure access to their own patent application status information, as well as to general patent information publicly available. EFS allows customers to electronically file patent application documents securely via the Internet. EFS is a system for submitting new utility patent applications and pre-grant publication submissions in electronic publication-ready form. EFS includes software to help customers prepare submissions in extensible Markup Language (XML) format and to assemble the various parts of the application as an electronic submission package. EFS also allows the submission of Computer Readable Format (CRF) sequence listings for pending biotechnology patent applications, which were filed in paper form.

B.k.y) 16 May 2007

BRIAN P. YENKE